

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/732,861	12/10/2003		Jeffrey A. Swane	02-13	8169
30699	7590	05/13/2004		EXAMINER	
DAYCO PRODUCTS, LLC				DOUGLAS, STEVEN O	
1 PRESTIGI MIAMISBU		45342		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3751	

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/732,861	SWANE, JEFFREY A.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Steven O. Douglas	3751						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 De	ecember 2003.							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.								
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	o. and document doping that reserve							
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12102003. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 10/732,861

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris'570 et al. in view Powell.

The Harris et al. reference discloses a fuel fill system (see Fig. 10) comprising a multiplicity of components made of thermoplastic material (i.e. see the cross hatching in the drawings) including an outer funnel 50, a plurality of components that could be construed as inner funnels (i.e. see elements 34 and the unlabeled element which carries element 20 and its associated mounting flange), and a vapor recirculation fitting proximate reference numeral 148 in Fig. 10, but is silent as to how the components as fitted together or as being welded together as claimed. The Powell reference discloses another fuel fill system having thermoplastic components that are thermo welded together (see the Abstract in particular) to provide an implied vapor-tight seal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to thermo weld the components of the Harris'570 et al device together (if not already) in view of the implied teachings of the Powell reference to provide leak-free or vapor tight interfaces between respective components.

In regard to claims 18-29, the method as claimed would be inherent during the normal and assemblage of the resulting device.

Art Unit: 3751

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Bucci and Peikert references pertain to other fuel systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven O. Douglas whose telephone number is 703-308-0891. The examiner can normally be reached on Wed-Fri 6:30-7:00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven O. Douglas Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

SD

5-17-04